



Police Federation  
of Australia

The National Voice of Policing

ABN 31 384 184 778

Level 1, 21 Murray Crescent  
GRIFFITH ACT 2603

Tel: (02) 6239 8900  
Fax: (02) 6239

15 February 2011

Committee Secretary  
House of Representatives Economics Committee  
Parliament House  
CANBERRA ACT 2600

[economics.reps@aph.gov.au](mailto:economics.reps@aph.gov.au)

Dear Committee Members

**INCOME TAX RATES AMENDMENT (TEMPORARY FLOOD RECONSTRUCTION LEVY)  
BILL 2011  
POLICE FEDERATION OF AUSTRALIA SUBMISSION**

The Police Federation of Australia (PFA) represents the interests of Australia's 55,000 police officers in all jurisdictions across the nation. As you would be aware, our members have been on the front line of every one of the natural disasters that has so dramatically affected south east and north Queensland, north western Victoria, Perth and elsewhere through the summer of 2010 and 2011. Police and other emergency service workers and volunteers have worked tirelessly to protect life and property throughout these natural disasters.

The PFA supports the reconstruction efforts of the Commonwealth and the respective State and local governments.

However we are alarmed to read in the press that the levy will affect people retiring and drawing on their superannuation savings during the twelve months during which the levy applies. The example that has come to our attention is that of a 57 year old NSW police officer who after 30 years of service is retiring next year. It is reported that he will lose \$6,590 of his superannuation lump sum payment of \$698,038 as a result of the flood levy (see attached article from *The Australian*, 11 February 2011).

I note that although the example in the story is a police officer, all workers retiring in the year in which the levy applies, and those on transition to retirement arrangements would be affected adversely. We understand that it will also affect any lump sum payments such as long service leave, annual leave and disengagement


payments for anyone who retires or leaves employment during the financial year in question.

We can only assume that these are unintended consequences and that the Gillard Labor Government would not have meant to cut the retirement savings and other benefits of workers through this levy. This seems to be confirmed by Ministerial references to the levy amounting to around a cup of coffee a week for the average worker. In addition, the Government is actively working to increase the retirement incomes of working families with the move to boost the superannuation guarantee from 9 to 12% amongst other improvements to super that are underway. Hitting workers' retirement savings and other employment benefits is not consistent with the positive things the Government is doing on superannuation and fair work provisions.

To overcome these problems, the PFA proposes that the Income Tax Rates Amendment (Temporary Flood Reconstruction Levy) Bill 2011 be amended so that superannuation lump sums, transition to retirement payments and other worker entitlements and benefits are not affected by the levy.

We would be prepared to give evidence to the Committee if that is appropriate.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "m A Burgess". The signature is written in a cursive, lowercase style.

Mark Burgess  
Chief Executive Officer

Attachment

Cc: The Hon Wayne Swan, Treasurer  
The Hon Bill Shorten, Minister for Financial Services and Superannuation

## SUPERANNUATION

# Retirees face large slug on lump-sum payments

LANAI VASEK  
JOE KELLY

OLDER Australians planning their retirement next year could be forced to pay thousands of dollars in tax on superannuation payouts as a result of Labor's \$1.8 billion flood levy.

Payments would apply to retirees between the ages of 55 and 60 who receive their superannuation in a lump sum.

It will also hit those who are on transitional employment and receive part of their superannuation as a pension to supplement their salary.

Revelations of the loophole in Labor's flood levy came as the opposition launched an attack in question time on Julia Gillard, presenting the Prime Minister with the story of a police officer retiring next year who will pay an extra \$6590 in tax on his super lump sum payment of \$698,038 due to the flood levy.

The 57-year-old policeman — who has worked with the NSW police force for more than 30 years and has a wife and two children — wrote to his local member, Russell

Matheson, who represents the marginal western Sydney electorate of Macarthur, on Tuesday to express his anger over Labor's levy.

"Should this additional tax be introduced in the manner currently being reported, I believe I and probably many others like me will be penalised as a result of choosing the wrong year to retire," he said.

In question time yesterday, Ms Gillard said the way the levy was structured was "the right thing to do".

"That is how we structure burdens in our society," she said. "We structure burdens on the basis that people who have the capacity to pay more, should pay more."

Lump-sum superannuation payments for over 60s are not taxed, and a person who was doing a transition to retirement pension, in a way that reduced their taxable income below \$50,000, would not pay any levy.

Opposition Treasury spokesman Joe Hockey said yesterday's disclosure revealed that Labor's claim the flood levy would cost Australians only a cup of coffee a week was "in tatters".